Case: 3:23-cv-00137-JMV Doc #: 23 Filed: 09/25/23 1 of 2 PageID #: 607

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI OXFORD DIVISION

JAMIE MCKINNEY ELLETT

v.

PLAINTIFF

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY

DEFENDANT

No. 3:23-cv-137-JMV

ORDER ON MOTION FOR ATTORNEY FEES

Before the Court are Plaintiff's motion [20] for attorney fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d), Defendant's response [21], and Plaintiff's Reply [22]. For the reasons that follow, the motion will be granted.

In these proceedings Plaintiff sought judicial review of the Social Security

Commissioner's final decision denying a claim for benefits. By motion [15] to remand to the

Social Security Administration on September 14, 2023, the Commissioner of Social Security

sought further administrative proceedings in this matter. Consistent with this motion, the court

entered an order [18] remanding this case to the Social Security Administration on September

21, 2023, for further proceedings. A party who obtains a remand in a social security appeal

pursuant to the fourth sentence of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) qualifies as a prevailing party for purposes

of fees under the EAJA. *Breaux v. U.S.D.H.H.S*, 20 F.3d 1324, 1324 (5th Cir. 1994) (per

curiam).

Plaintiff, through her motion, sought attorney fees in the amount of \$6,514.91. In support of the motion, Plaintiff's counsel submitted a statement regarding the time expended litigating this action. According to the motion, Plaintiff's attorney spent a total of 26.9 hours on this case at a rate of \$242.19 per hour.

The defendant opposes the amount requested as the "hourly rate based on HALF1 consumer price index (CPI) for South Urban 2023 yields an hourly rate of \$241.15 per hour."

Case: 3:23-cv-00137-JMV Doc #: 23 Filed: 09/25/23 2 of 2 PageID #: 608

Plaintiff's counsel concedes this is the correct hourly rate, and as such asks this Court to enter an

award of \$6,486.94 instead of the originally requested \$6,514.91.

The Court, having thoroughly considered the motion, Defendant's objection, Plaintiff's

reply, and the applicable law, finds the amended requested award is reasonable; and no special

circumstance would make the award unjust.

Therefore, it is ordered that the Commissioner shall promptly pay Plaintiff \$6,486.94 in

attorney fees for the benefit of his counsel. As a matter of practice, an EAJA fee made payable

to Plaintiff may properly be mailed to Plaintiff's attorney.

SO ORDERED, this the 25th day of September, 2023.

/s/ Jane M. Virden

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE